Five New Discodermolide Analogues from the Marine Sponge *Discodermia* Species

Sarath P. Gunasekera,* Gopal K. Paul, Ross E. Longley, Richard A. Isbrucker, and Shirley A. Pomponi

Division of Biomedical Marine Research, Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institution, 5600 U.S. 1 North, Fort Pierce, Florida 34946

Received May 13, 2002

Discodermolide (1) and five new discodermolide analogues trivially named 2-*epi*-discodermolide (2), 2-*des*methyldiscodermolide (3), 5-hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4), 19-*des*-aminocarbonyldiscodermolide (5), and 9(13)-cyclodiscodermolide (6) have been isolated from marine sponges belonging to the genus *Discodermia* collected from the Caribbean Sea. The isolation, structure elucidation, and biological activities of 2-6 are described. The natural analogues, which were isolated in trace amounts, exhibited significant variation of cytotoxicity against the cultured murine P-388 leukemia and A-549 human adenocarcinoma cells and suggested the importance of the C₇ through C₁₇ moiety for potency against cultured tumor cell lines.

In 1990, we reported the isolation and structure determination of the polypropionate-derived polyhydroxy- δ lactone, (+)-discodermolide (1), from the Caribbean marine sponge *Discodermia dissoluta*.¹ Biological studies by our group and others have demonstrated its immunosuppressive and antimitotic activities.²⁻⁴ (+)-Discodermolide has been shown to promote the rapid polymerization of purified tubulin and to hyperstabilize the microtubule complex in cultured cells^{5,6} with a mechanism of action similar to that of paclitaxel (Taxol). (+)-Discodermolide also inhibits the *in vitro* growth of several cancer cell lines, including paclitaxel-resistant ovarian and colon cancer cells.⁷⁻⁹

The Schreiber group has synthesized both antipodes of discodermolide, establishing the absolute configuration.¹⁰ and prepared a number of structural variants.¹¹ Since then. several other groups have synthesized (+)-discodermolide,^{12,13} antipode (-)-discodermolide,^{14,15} or various fragments of discodermolide using different synthetic approaches.^{16–29} In 2001, the Paterson group³⁰ synthesized (+)-discodermolide and three epimeric discodermolides. Recently, we reported the preparation, structure elucidation, biological activity, and structure-activity relationship of seven new acetylated analogues^{31,32} and the previously reported discodermolide-3,7,11,17-tetraacetate¹ of natural (+)-discodermolide. Herein, we report the isolation, structure elucidation, biological activity, and structure-activity relationship of five new naturally occurring discodermolide analogues, 2-6, from sponge samples of the genus Discodermia.

Results and Discussion

The sponge samples, *Discodermia* species, were collected in 1993 and 1998 from the Bahamian archipelago and stored at -20 °C until extraction. The EtOH extracts of the thawed sponges were partitioned between EtOAc and H₂O. The EtOAc-soluble fractions were chromatographed over Si gel with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH or EtOAc/MeOH step gradient, and the fractions collected were monitored for the presence of discodermolide analogues using TLC, P-388 assay and NMR techniques. The cytotoxic fractions, which showed ¹H NMR spectra similar to that of discodermolide, were further purified by HPLC to yield compounds **2**–**6**.



HRFABMS of 2-*epi*-discodermolide (**2**) supported the molecular formula $C_{33}H_{55}NO_8$ [(M + H)⁺ m/z 594.4003, Δ 0.2 mmu], and it is identical to that reported for discodermolide. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 2-*epi*-discodermolide were very similar to that of discodermolide and

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 772-465-2400. Fax: 772-461-2221. E-mail: sgunaseker@hboi.edu.

Table 1. ¹H NMR Data of Discodermolide (1) and Natural Analogues 2 and 3^a

	discodermolide (1)	2- <i>epi</i> -discodermolide (2)	2- <i>des</i> -methyldiscodermolide (3)			
position	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)			
2	2.56 (dq, 4.2, 7.2)	2.48 (dq, 2.3, 7.5)	2.57 (dd, 3.8, 17.6) 2.50 (dd, 2.9, 17.6)			
ĩ	3 61 (ddd 4 2 4 2 4 6)	373 (ddd 233547)	3.95 (dddd 2.9, 3.8, 3.8, 4.2)			
3-0H	3.27 (d. 4.6)	3 32 (d 4 7)	3.27 (d, 3.8)			
4	1.83 (ddg 2.0 4.2 6.9)	1 79 (m)	1.70 (m)			
5	4.45 (dt. 2.0, 10.1)	4.48 (dt. 2.0, 10.0)	4.50 (dt. 2.0, 10.7)			
6	1.72 (m)	1.72 (m)	1.72 (m)			
6	1.47 (ddd, 2.4, 10.8, 13.0)	1.48 (ddd, 2.5, 10.8, 13.0)	1.48 (ddd, 2.3, 10.9, 13.0)			
7	4.44 (m)	4.44 (m)	4.46 (m)			
7-ОН	2.75 (d. 5.3)	2.73 (d. 5.2)	2.74 (d. 5.3)			
8	5.38 (ddd, 2.2, 9.1, 10.9)	5.38 (dd, 9.1, 10.9)	5.38 (dd, 8.4, 10-0)			
9	5.54 (ddd, 1, 10.1, 10.9)	5.54 (dd, 10.1, 10.9)	5.54 (dd, 10.1, 10.9)			
10	2.62 (m)	2.62 (m)	2.62 (m)			
11	3.05 (m)	3.05 (m)	3.06 (m)			
11-OH	2.64(d, 5.2)	2.64 (d. 5.0)	2.64 (d. 5.3)			
12	2.29 (ddg, 5.2, 6.6, 10.0)	2.27 (ddg, 5.2, 6.5, 10.0)	2.27 (dda, 5.2.6.6, 10.0)			
13	4.97 (d. 10.0)	4.95 (d. 10.0)	4.96 (d. 10.0)			
15	1.76 (m)	1.76 (m)	1.78 (m)			
15	1.63 (dd. 3.6, 12.2)	1.60 (dd. 3.6, 12.2)	1.61 (dd. 3.6. 12.2)			
16	1.76 (m)	1.72 (m)	1.71 (m)			
17	3.13 (dd. 3.5, 6.2)	3.13 (ddd, 3.5, 6.2, 6.5)	3.13 (ddd, 4.1, 6.2, 6.4)			
17-OH	2.59 (d. 6.5)	2.59 (d. 6.5)	2.59 (d. 6.4)			
18	1.72 (m)	1.75 (m)	1.72 (m)			
19	4.71 (dd. 4.1. 8.0)	4.71 (dd. 4.2, 8.0)	4.72 (dd. 4.2, 8.0)			
20	3.07 (m)	3.07 (m)	3.08 (m)			
21	5.42 (dd, 10.6, 10.7)	5.42 (dd, 10.6, 10.6)	5.42 (dd, 10.4, 10.9)			
22	6.06 (dd, 10.7, 11.0)	6.07 (dd, 10.6, 11.0)	6.07 (dd, 10.9, 11.0)			
23	6.68 (ddd, 10.5, 11.0, 16.6)	6.66 (ddd, 10.5, 11.0, 16.6)	6.67 (ddd, 10.1, 11.0, 16.8)			
24	5.21 (d, 16.6)	5.24 (d, 16.6)	5.24 (d, 16.8)			
24	5.10 (d, 10.1)	5.14 (d, 10.0)	5.14 (d, 10.1)			
25	1.18 (d, 7.2)	1.16 (d, 6.7)	-			
26	0.97 (d, 6.9)	1.02 (d, 6.2)	1.01 (d, 6.8)			
27	1.00 (d, 6.9)	1.00 (d, 5.4)	1.01 (d, 6.8)			
28	0.88 (d, 6.6)	0.87 (d, 6.5)	0.88 (d, 6.6)			
29	1.57 (s)	1.56 (s)	1.57 (s)			
30	0.73 (d, 6.2)	0.73 (d, 6.2)	0.73 (d, 6.2)			
31	0.80 (d, 6.5)	0.79 (d, 6.5)	0.80 (d, 6.5)			
32	0.93 (d, 6.7)	0.95 (d, 6.7)	0.95 (d, 6.7)			
$\rm NH_2$	5.05 (br s)	5.05 (br s)	5.05 (br s)			

^a All spectra run at 500 MHz in CDCN. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm, and J values in Hz.

indicated a few minor chemical shift and coupling constant differences around the δ -lactone functionality. The NOESY spectrum of discodermolide (1) gave correlations of C_{25} -Me/C₃-H, C₂₅-Me/C₄-H, C₂-H/C₃-H, C₂₆-Me/C₃-H, and C_3 -H/C₄-H, in agreement with the reported solution structure that has an axial methyl at C₂, an axial hydroxyl at C₃, and an equatorial methyl at the C₄ positions,³³ whereas the NOESY spectrum of 2-epi-discodermolide gave correlations of C₂₅-Me/C₃-H, C₂-H/C₄-H, C₂₆-Me/C₃-H, and C_3 -H/C₄-H. The strong NOE correlation between C_2 -H and C_4 -H indicated the one-three diaxial arrangement of these hydrogen atoms. These data confirmed that the C₂₅-Me, which has an axial arrangement in discodermolide, has flipped to an equatorial arrangement in 2-epidiscodermolide. Comparison of NMR data in Tables 1 and 3 together with the high-resolution mass spectral data confirmed the structure of 2-epi-discodermolide (2).

HRFABMS of 2-*des*-methyldiscodermolide (**3**) supported the molecular formula $C_{32}H_{53}NO_8$ [(M + H)⁺ m/z 580.3853, Δ 0.4 mmu], and it indicated a difference in elements CH_2 (14 mmu) from discodermolide and 2-*epi*-discodermolide. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2-*des*-methyldiscodermolide was very similar to that of discodermolide. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated signals for seven methyl groups instead of the eight methyl groups present in discodermolide. Detailed analysis of the ¹H NMR indicated the absence of the signal corresponding to the C_{25} methyl doublet that appears characteristically downfield in discodermolide due to deshielding by the adjacent carbonyl group. The DEPT spectrum showed the replacement of the C_2 methine carbon by a methylene carbon appearing at 40.3 ppm. The COSY spectrum clearly showed the coupling of this new methylene group observed at 2.52 and 2.56 ppm to the C_3 hydroxy methine observed at 3.95 ppm. Comparison of the NMR data in Tables 1 and 3 together with the mass spectral data confirmed the structure of 2-*des*-methyldiscodermolide (**3**).

HRFABMS of 5-hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4) supported the molecular formula $C_{34}H_{59}NO_9$ [(M + H)⁺ m/z 626.4252, Δ 1.6 mmu], and it indicated a difference in elements CH₄O (32 mmu) from discodermolide. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 5-hydroxymethyldiscodermolate as expected was very similar to that of discodermolide. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed an additional three-proton singlet for a methoxy group at 3.63 ppm. The C₅ δ -lactone proton, which appeared at 4.46 ppm in discodermolide, indicated an upfield shift to 3.90 ppm, suggesting the presence of a free hydroxyl group at this position. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed an upfield shift of 4.6 ppm for C₅ compared to that of discodermolide and an additional signal at 52.2 ppm characteristic for the methyl ester group. The selective INAPT spectrum revealed a three-bond correlation between the methoxy protons and the ester carbonyl at 176.7 ppm. Comparison of the NMR data in Tables 1, 2, and 3 together with mass spectral data established the structure of 5-hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4). The stereochemistry was confirmed by comparison with an authentic sample of 4 prepared by acid-catalyzed methanolysis of discodermolide (1).

Table 2. ¹H NMR Data of Natural Analogues 4, 5, and 6^a

	5-hydroxymethyl discodermolate (4) ^b	19- <i>des</i> -aminocarbonyl discodermolide (5) ^b	9(13)-cyclodiscodermolide (6) ^c		
position	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)		
2	2.66 (m)	2.56 (dq, 4.2, 7.2)	2.61 (dq, 4.0, 7.3)		
3	3.91 (m)	3.63 (ddd, 4.0, 4.2, 4.5)	3.61 (dd, 4.0, 5.0)		
3-OH	4.08 (d, 4.9)	3.27 (d, 4.5)			
4	1.64 (ddq, 2.5, 5.0, 6.9)	1.85 (m)	1.96 (ddq, 5.0, 5.4, 7.1)		
5	3.90 (m)	4.45 (m)	4.33 (ddd, 5.4, 7.9, 10.0)		
5-OH	3.97 (d, 4.2)				
6	1.63 (m)	1.71 (m)	2.25 (ddd, 5.6, 7.9, 14.9)		
6	1.45 (ddd, 3.1, 9.3, 12.0)	1.49 (ddd, 2.3, 10.7, 12.4)	2.46 (ddd, 5.6, 10.0, 14.9)		
7	4.50 (ddd, 7.5, 9.1, 9.3)	4.45 (m)	5.36 (ddd, 5.6, 5.6, 15.4)		
7-OH	3.02 (d, 4.5)	2.76 (d, 5.4)			
8	5.42 (m)	5.35 (dd, 8.7, 11.0)	5.26 (dd, 8.5, 15.4)		
9	5.42 (m)	5.49 (dd, 10.5, 11.0)	2.08 (ddd, 8.5, 10.1, 10.1)		
10	2.64 (m)	2.62 (m)	1.68 (m)		
11	3.07 (m)	3.09 (ddd, 4.8, 5.3, 6.8)	3.61 (m)		
11-OH	2.65 (d, 4.8)	2.62 (d, 5.3)			
12	2.36 (ddq, 5.2, 6.8, 9.9)	2.35 (ddq, 5.4, 6.8, 10.0)	1.68 (m)		
13	5.03 (d, 9.9)	5.03 (d, 10.0)	1.57 (m)		
14					
15	1.79 (m)	1.79 (m)	4.75 (d, 9.9)		
15	1.68 (m)	1.73 (m)			
16	1.76 (m)	1.76 (m)	2.47 (m)		
17	3.14 (dd, 4.3, 6.2)	3.27 (ddd 4.1, 5.0, 6.2)	3.30 (m)		
17-OH	2.59 (d, 6.5)	2.98 (d, 5.0)			
18	1.74 (m)	1.72 (m)	1.82 (d, 3.0, 6.5, 7.0)		
19	4.70 (dd, 4.7, 7.5)	3.41 (ddd, 3.3, 4.2, 6.8)	4.81 (m)		
19-OH		2.90 (d, 3.3)			
20	3.08 (m)	2.84 (ddq, 6.9, 7.0, 10.0)	3.14 (ddq, 7.2, 9.8, 10.8)		
21	5.42 (m)	5.40 (dd, 10.5, 10.5)	5.46 (dd, 10.8, 11.0)		
22	6.04 (dd, 10.9, 11.0)	6.06 (dd, 10.5, 11.0)	6.04 (dd, 11.0, 11.0)		
23	6.67 (ddd, 10.4, 11.0, 16.8)	6.68 (ddd, 10.5, 11.0, 16.8)	6.68 (ddd, 10.2, 11.0, 16.7)		
24	5.23 (d, 16.8)	5.21 (d, 16.8)	5.25 (d, 16.7)		
24	5.13 (d, 10.4)	5.10 (d, 10.5)	5.16 (d, 10.2)		
25	1.07 (d, 7.1)	1.19 (d, 7.2)	1.24 (d, 7.3)		
26	0.76 (d, 7.0)	0.97 (d, 6.9)	0.99 (d, 7.1)		
27	0.98 (d, 6.7)	1.00 (d, 6.9)	0.92 (d, 7.1)		
28	0.88 (d, 6.7)	0.90 (d, 6.8)	0.93 (d, 7.2)		
29	1.59 (s)	1.61 (s)	1.54 (s)		
30	0.74 (d, 6.3)	0.76 (d, 6.5)	0.94 (d, 7.0)		
31	0.84 (d, 6.7)	0.88 (d, 6.9)	0.78 (d, 7.0)		
32	0.95 (d, 6.6)	0.93 (d, 6.9)	0.99 (d, 7.2)		
1-OMe	3.63 (s)				
NH_2	5.05 (br s)				

^a All spectra run at 500 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm, and J values in Hz. ^b In CD₃CN. ^c In CD₃OD.

HRFABMS of 19-des-aminocarbonyldiscodermolide (5) supported the molecular formula $C_{32}H_{54}O_7 [(M + H)^+ m/z]$ 551.3937, Δ 1.0 mmu], and it indicated a difference in elements CHNO (43 mmu) from discodermolide. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 19-des-aminocarbonyldiscodermolide closely resembled that of discodermolide. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated the absence of the characteristic twoproton signal corresponding to the NH₂ group in the aminocarbonyl group. The two aminocarbonyl protons appear as a broad signal at 5.05 ppm in discodermolide (see Table 1). The C₁₉ aminocarbonyloxymethine proton that appears at 4.71 ppm in discodermolide showed an upfield shift to 3.41 ppm in 5, indicating the presence of a typical hydroxymethine proton. The ¹³C NMR spectrum did not contain a signal corresponding to an aminocarbonyloxy carbon, which appears at 158.4 ppm in discodermolide (see Table 3). Comparison of the NMR data in Tables 1, 2, and 3 together with mass spectral data confirmed the structure of 19-des-aminocarbonyldiscodermolide (5).

HRFABMS of 9(13)-cyclodiscodermolide (**6**) supported the molecular formula $C_{33}H_{53}NO_7$ [(M + H)⁺ m/z 576.3966, Δ 6.6 mmu], and it indicated a difference in elements H₂O (18 mmu) from discodermolide. The ¹³C spectral comparison revealed that the resonances attributed to one oxygenated carbon and one methylene carbon observed for discodermolide have been replaced by two methine carbons in 9(13)-

cyclodiscodermolide (see Table 3). These data together with the molecular formula suggested an additional unsaturation equivalent in 9(13)-cyclodiscodermolide accounting for a second ring system in the molecule. The ¹H NMR spectral pattern of 9(13)-cyclodiscodermolide (6) was similar to that of discodermolide, but the chemical shift values of the proton signals from C₆ through C₁₆ were quite different. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated a downfield shift of the two C₆ methylene protons by 0.71 ppm to an allylic position. In the COSY spectrum of 6, the C₇ olefinic proton at 5.36 ppm indicated couplings to the C₆ allylic methylene protons observed at 2.25 and 2.46 ppm and to the C₈ olefinic proton observed at 5.26 ppm. The C₆ allylic methylene protons were in turn coupled to the C5 oxymethine proton observed at 4.33 ppm. The trans arrangement of C7 and C8 olefinic protons was evident from the coupling constant of 15.4 Hz. Similarly, in the COSY spectrum, the C₉ allylic methine proton observed at 2.08 ppm (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 10.1, 10.1 Hz) showed couplings to the protons at C_8 , 5.26 ppm (dd, J =8.5, 15.4 Hz); C₁₀, 1.68 ppm (m); and allylic C₁₃, 1.57 ppm (m). The C_{10} methine proton was coupled to the C_{27} methyl and to the C₁₁ hydroxymethine proton observed at 3.61 ppm, which was in turn coupled to the C₁₂ methine proton observed at 1.68 ppm. The C₁₂ methine proton, which was coupled to the C₂₈ methyl protons observed at 0.93 ppm, indicated additional coupling to the C₁₃ allylic proton,

Table 3	. ¹³ C	NMR	Data	of	Com	pounds	1	-6 ²
---------	-------------------	-----	------	----	-----	--------	---	-----------------

position	1 ^b	2 ^b	3 ^b	4 ^b	5 ^b	6 ^c
1	174.7 (s)	174.3 (s)	170.7 (s)	176.7 (s)	174.7 (s)	173.1 (s)
2	44.1 (d)	43.5 (d)	40.3 (t)	43.1 (d)	44.1 (d)	44.7 (d)
3	73.2 (d)	73.1 (d)	68.2 (d)	76.6 (d)	73.2 (d)	73.7 (d)
4	36.4 (d)	39.9 (d)	38.3 (d)	42.0 (d)	36.3 (d)	34.2 (d)
5	77.6 (d)	78.8 (d)	78.1 (d)	73.0 (d)	77.6 (d)	82.3 (d)
6	42.2 (t)	42.9 (t)	42.6 (t)	41.7 (t)	42.2 (t)	36.6 (t)
7	63.5 (d)	63.4 (d)	63.3 (d)	65.6 (d)	63.6 (d)	125.6 (d)
8	133.9 (d)	134.0 (d)	133.9 (d)	134.5 (d)	133.8 (d)	138.3 (d)
9	133.8 (d)	133.8 (d)	133.8 (d)	133.7 (d)	133.7 (d)	54.7 (d)
10	36.4 (d)	36.3 (d)	36.4 (d)	36.5 (d)	36.5 (d)	47.0 (d)
11	79.8 (d)	79.8 (d)	79.8 (d)	79.7 (d)	79.6 (d)	81.1 (d)
12	37.1 (d)	37.2 (d)	37.2 (d)	36.5 (d)	36.9 (d)	44.1 (d)
13	131.2 (d)	131.2 (d)	131.2 (d)	131.2 (d)	131.2 (d)	62.9 (d)
14	133.9 (s)	133.9 (s)	133.9 (s)	133.8 (s)	133.8 (s)	133.0 (s)
15	36.3 (t)	36.2 (t)	36.3 (t)	36.3 (t)	36.3 (t)	130.9 (d)
16	34.3 (d)	34.3 (d)	34.3 (d)	34.3 (d)	34.4 (d)	37.8 (d)
17	76.0 (d)	76.0 (d)	76.0 (d)	76.1 (d)	79.4 (d)	76.1 (d)
18	38.5 (d)	38.5 (d)	38.5 (d)	38.4 (d)	37.5 (d)	40.0 (d)
19	79.3 (d)	79.4 (d)	79.4 (d)	79.1 (d)	79.0 (d)	80.5 (d)
20	34.8 (d)	34.7 (d)	34.8 (d)	34.9 (d)	36.5 (d)	34.9 (d)
21	134.3 (d)	134.2 (d)	134.3 (d)	134.2 (d)	136.5 (d)	134.1 (d)
22	130.4 (d)	130.6 (d)	130.6 (d)	130.4 (d)	130.3 (d)	130.7 (d)
23	133.3 (d)	133.2 (d)	133.3 (d)	133.3 (d)	133.9 (d)	133.5 (d)
24	118.3 (t)	118.6 (t)	118.6 (t)	118.3 (t)	117.9 (t)	118.4 (t)
25	15.8 (q)	13.3 (q)		9.3 (q)	15.8 (q)	16.2 (q)
26	13.1 (q)	14.7 (q)	14.3 (q)	12.9 (q)	13.1 (q)	13.0 (q)
27	19.7 (q)	19.8 (q)	19.8 (q)	19.3 (q)	19.3 (q)	18.9 (q)
28	17.5 (q)	17.6 (q)	17.6 (q)	16.7 (q)	17.1 (q)	18.1 (q)
29	23.3 (q)	23.3 (q)	23.3 (q)	23.4 (q)	23.5 (q)	14.0 (q)
30	15.5 (q)	15.6 (q)	15.5 (q)	15.2 (q)	15.4 (q)	13.3 (q)
31	9.2 (q)	9.1 (q)	9.1 (q)	9.2 (q)	7.2 (q)	9.0 (q)
32	18.2 (q)	18.2 (q)	18.2 (q)	18.2 (q)	18.1 (q)	18.4 (q)
33	158.4 (s)	158.4 (s)	158.4 (s)	158.3 (s)	-	158.3 (s)
1-OCH ₃			52.2 (q)			

^{*a*} All spectra run at 125.7 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm. ^{*b*} In CD₃CN, ^{*c*} In CD₃OD.

which in turn did not show coupling to the C₁₅ olefinic proton observed at 4.75 ppm. The C₂₉ olefinic methyl observed at 1.54 (s) indicated allylic coupling to the C_{15} olefinic proton, which in turn was coupled to the C₁₆ allylic methine proton observed at 2.47 ppm. These data together with the absence of the second methylene signal corresponding to the C₁₅ methylene in discodermolide established the C_6 through C_{16} substructure for this compound. The remaining coupling patterns (C₂ through C₅ and C₁₇ through C₂₄) were similar to that of discodermolide. Comparison of the ¹³C data with that of discodermolide indicated that one hydroxymethine doublet (C7) and a methylene triplet (C_{15}) present in discodermolide have been replaced by two methine doublets (C_9 and C_{13}) in **6**. The high-field chemical shift value of 14.0 ppm for the C_{29} olefinic methyl (see Table 3) indicated trans stereochemistry for the C₁₄ double bond. These data in combination with the high-resolution mass spectral data confirmed the structure of 9(13)-cyclodiscodermolide (6). The stereochemistry of the C₉ to C₂₀ side chain was not determined due to insufficient material on hand after biological testing.

Biological Activity of Discodermolide (1) and Natural Analogues 2–6. Discodermolide (1) and its naturally occurring analogues 2–6 were tested for their in vitro cytotoxicity to cultured murine P-388 leukemia and human lung adenocarcinoma A-549 cell lines. These compounds inhibited the in vitro proliferation of the P-388 cell line, with IC₅₀ values of 1, 35; 2, 134; 3, 172; 4, 65.8; 5, 128; and 6, 5043 nM³⁴ and the A-549 cell line, with IC₅₀ values of 1, 13.5; 2, 67; 3, 120; 4, 74; 5, 74; and 6, 4487 nM.³⁴ These activity data indicated that changes in the δ -lactone ring, more specifically at C₁ (opened lactone, 4) and C₂₅ (methyl group, 2, 3), have a minor contribution toward the activity. Similarly, any changes at the tail end of the molecule (carbamate group, **5**) indicated no significant decrease in activity. Interestingly, changes in the middle section of the molecule (**6**) indicated a complete loss of activity. These results are consistent with our earlier findings^{31,32} that acetylation at C_{11} and more specifically at C_{17} caused a dramatic reduction in activity. These data supported our earlier conclusion^{31,32} that the C_7 through C_{17} moieties contribute to the overall cytotoxicity of discodermolide. The complete biological activity profile, including the effects of analogues on tubulin polymerization both within cells and using purified tubulin and the G_2/M blocking activity, will be published elsewhere. The details of P-388 and A-549 assays were described in the preceding publications.^{31,32}

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were recorded on a Jasco DIP-360 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were obtained on a Midac M-1200 with Galactic GRAMS/386 software. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AMX-500 instrument. The ¹H NMR chemical shifts (referenced to CD₃CN observed at 1.93 ppm or CD₃OD observed at 3.30 ppm) were assigned using a combination of data from COSY and HMQC experiments. Similarly, ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (referenced to solvent) were assigned on the basis of DEPT and HMQC experiments. The HRMS were obtained on a Finnigan MAT95Q mass spectrometer at the Spectroscopic Services Group, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.

Collection and Taxonomy. The sponge sample *Discodermia* sp. HBOI # 23-XI-98-1-005, family Theonellidea, was collected on November 1998 by manned submersible east of Bell Channel Bouy, Grand Bahama Island, Bahamas (latitude 26°30.662' N; longitude 78°34.976' W, depth 147 m). The morphology of the sponge varies from large cup-shaped to irregular cups forming branches. The sponge is tan in color

and rare in this location. A taxonomic reference sample has been deposited in the Harbor Branch Oceanographic Museum (HBOM), catalog number 003:00971. The sponge samples Discodermia species HBOI #s 23-XI-98-3-001 and 23-XI-98-3-002 were collected on November 1998 by manned submersible from Lucaya, Grand Bahama Island, Bahamas (latitude 26°30.727' N; longitude 78°35.026' W, depth 157 m). The specimen 23-XI-98-3-001 is cream in color and morphology is thin, irregular anastomosing branches. A taxonomic reference sample has been deposited in the HBOM, catalog number 003: 00972. The specimen 23-XI-98-3-002 is tan in color and morphology is a cluster of fingers. A taxonomic reference sample has been deposited in the HBOM, catalog number 003: 00973. The sponge samples Discodermia species HBOI #s 6-VI-93-1-005, -007, -008, -009, -010 were collected on June 6, 1993, by a manned submersible from the Tartar Bank, south of Cat Island, Bahamas (latitude 24°02.104' N; longitude 75°28.309' W, depth 183-198 m). The specimens are cup-shaped and white to tan to yellow in color. Taxonomic reference samples have been deposited in the HBOM, catalog numbers 003:00984 to 003:00988.

Extraction and Isolation of Discodermolide (1) and 2-epi-Discodermolide (2). The sponge specimen Discodermia sp. 23-XI-98-1-005 (1931 g), which was stored at -20 °C, was thawed and extracted with EtOH (3 L). The EtOH extract was concentrated on a water bath under vacuum, and the concentrate was partitioned between EtOAc and H₂O. The EtOAcsoluble fraction (4.2 g) was chromatographed over silica gel (200 g) with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH gradient, and fractions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography and ¹H NMR for discodermolide and discodermolide analogues. The fraction that showed the presence of discodermolide and discodermolide analogues by ¹H NMR on further purification by HPLC (SiO₂, 5 μ m, 250 \times 10 mm) with 6% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ gave 2-epidiscodermolide (2) as a white solid (0.3 mg, yield, 0.00001% of wet weight) and discodermolide (1) as a white solid (20 mg, yield, 0.001% of wet weight). The identity of discodermolide was confirmed by TLC, specific rotation, and NMR comparison with an authentic sample.

2-*Epi*-discodermolide (2): $[\alpha]^{21}_{D}$ +10.7° (*c* 0.1, MeOH); IR (neat/NaCl) ν_{max} 3394, 1720, 1041, 1028 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 3; HRFABMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol) m/z 594.4003, Δ 0.2 mmu for C₃₃H₅₆NO₈ (M + H)⁺.

Extraction and Isolation of 2-Des-methyldiscodermolide (3) and 5-Hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4). The frozen sponge specimen Discodermia sp. 23-XI-98-3-002 (3570 g) was extracted as above, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H_2O . The EtOAc-soluble fraction (7.8 g) was chromatographed over silica gel (200 g) with CH₂Cl₂ followed by EtOAc/MeOH gradient, and the fractions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography and ¹H NMR spectra for the presence of discodermolide and discodermolide analogues. The TLC pattern and the ¹H NMR spectra of the fractions that eluted with 2-5% MeOH/EtOAc showed the presence of discodermolide analogues in addition to discodermolide. The fraction that eluted with 5% MeOH/EtOAc on further purification by HPLC (SiO₂, 5 μ m, 250 imes 10 mm) with 7% MeOH/CH2Cl2 gave 2-des-methyldiscodermolide as a white solid (1.0 mg, yield, 0.00003% of wet weight). Similarly, the fraction that eluted with 2% MeOH/EtOAc on further purification by HPLC (SiO₂, 5 μ m, 250 \times 10 mm) with 7% MeOH/ CH2Cl2 followed by HPLC with 4% MeOH/CH2Cl2 gave 5-hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4) as a white solid (1.1 mg, yield, 0.00003% of wet weight).

2-*Des*-methyldiscodermolide (3): $[\alpha]^{21}_{D} + 10.2^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.1, MeOH); IR (neat/NaCl) ν_{max} 3374, 1721, 1710, 1323, 1037 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 3; HRFABMS (glycerol) m/z 580.3853, Δ 0.4 mmu for C₃₂H₅₄NO₈ (M + H)⁺.

5-Hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4): $[\alpha]^{21}_{D} + 14.6^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.1, MeOH); IR (neat/NaCl) v_{max} 3361, 1710, 1393, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 2 and 3; HRFABMS (glycerol) m/z 626.4252, Δ 1.6 mmu for C₃₄H₆₀NO₉ (M + H)⁺.

Preparation of 5-Hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4). A solution of discodermolide (4.0 mg) in 10% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) and SiO₂ gel (20 mg, EM Science, 230-400 mesh) was stirred for 5 days at 35 °C. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated under a stream of N₂ to give a colorless residue (\sim 4 mg). The residues was subjected to HPLC on a SiO_2 gel (Lichrosorb 5 μ , 250 \times 10 mm) column using a mixture of 7% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ to yield 5-hydroxymethyldiscodermolate (4, 0.8 mg) and unreacted discodermolide (1, 2.8 mg)

Extraction and Isolation of 19-Des-aminocarbonyldiscodermolide (5). The frozen sponge specimen Discodermia sp. 23-XI-98-3-001 (2480 g) was extracted as above, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H₂O. The EtOAcsoluble fraction (4.4 g) was chromatographed over silica gel (200 g) with MeOH/EtOAc, and fractions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography and ¹H NMR spectra for discodermolide and discodermolide analogues. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the fraction that eluted with 2% MeOH/EtOAc showed the presence of a discodermolide analogue in addition to discodermolide. This fraction (0.10 g) on further purification by HPLC (SiO₂, 5 μ m, 250 \times 10 mm) with 6% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ followed by HPLC with 3% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ gave 19-des-aminocarbonyldiscodermolide (5) as a white solid (1.1 mg, yield, 0.00006% of wet weight).

19-Desaminocarbonyldiscodermolide (5): $[\alpha]^{21}_{D} + 18.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.1, MeOH); IR (neat/NaCl) v_{max} 3393, 1103, 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 2 and 3; HRFABMS (glycerol) m/z 551.3937, Δ 1.0 mmu for C₃₂H₅₅NO₇ (M + H)⁺.

Extraction and Isolation of 9(13)-Cyclodiscodermolide (6). The frozen sponge specimens Discodermia spp. 6-VI-93-1-005, -007, -008, -009, -010 (2500 g) were processed as above, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H₂O. The EtOAc-soluble fraction (1.8 g) was chromatographed over silica gel (60 g) with a CH₂Cl₂/MeOH gradient, and the fractions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography and ¹H NMR for discodermolide and discodermolide analogues. The fraction that eluted with 5% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ on further purification by HPLC (SiO₂, 5 μ m, 250 \times 10 mm) with 5.5% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ gave 9(13)-cyclodiscodermolide (6) as a white solid (1.2 mg, yield, 0.00005% of wet weight).

9-(13)-Cyclodiscodermolide (6): $[\alpha]^{21}_{D}$ +24.0° (*c* 0.01, MeOH); IR (neat/NaCl) ν_{max} 3393, 1595, 1392, 1047, 976 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, see Tables 2 and 3; HRFABMS (glycerol) m/z 576.3966, Δ 6.6 mmu for C₃₃H₅₄NO₇ (M + H)⁺.

Acknowledgment. We thank P. Linley for her assistance with the cytotoxicity assays and Dr. D. Powell of the University of Florida for the HRFABMS measurements. We also thank J. Reed, Chief Scientist in charge of the collection cruise. This research was funded in part by a grant from the National Institute of Health/National Cancer Institute RO1-CA 74227. This is Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institution contribution no. 1482.

References and Notes

- (1) Gunasekera, S. P.; Gunasekera, M.; Longley, R. E.; Schulte, G. K. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4912–4915 (correction: 1991, 56, 1346).
 Longley, R. E.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Faherty, D.; McLane, J.; Dumont,
- F. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1993, 696, 94-107.
- Longley, R. E.; Caddigan, D.; Harmody, D.; Gunasekera, M.; Gunasekera, S. P. *Transplantation* 1991, *52*, 650–656.
- Longley, R. E.; Caddigan, D.; Harmody, D.; Gunasekera, M.; Gunasekera, S. P. *Transplantation* 1991, *52*, 656–661.
 ter Haar, E.; Kowalski, R. J.; Hamel, E.; Lin, C. M.; Longley, R. E.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Rosenkranz, H. S.; Day, B. W. *Biochemistry* 1996, *45*, 656 (1997). 35, 243-250
- (6) Hung, G. T.; Chen, J.; Schreiber, S. L. Chem. Biol. 1996, 3, 287-293
- (7) Kowalski, R. J.; Giannakakou, P.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Longley, R. E.; Day, B. W.; Hamel, E. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1997**, *52*, 613–622. Balachandran, R.; ter Haar, E.; Welsh, M. J.; Grant, S. G.; Day, B. (8)
- W. Anticancer Drugs 1998, 6, 67-76. (9)
- Balachandran, R.; Grant, S. G.; Welsh, M. J.; Day, B. W. Breast J. 1998, 4, 409-419.
- 118, 11054–11080.
- Paterson, I.; Florence, G. J.; Gerlach, K.; Scott, J. P. Angew. Chem. (12)2000, 39, 377-380.
- Smith, A. B., III; Qui, Y.; Jones, D. R.; Kobayashi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 12011–12012. (13)

- (14) Marshall, J. A.; Johns, B. A. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7885-7892.
 (15) Harried, S. S.; Yang, G.; Strawn, M. A.; Myles, D. C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6098-6099.
 (10) Clevel, P. M. Mark, A. C. Mark, C. M. C. Mark, C. Mar
- (16) Clark, D. L.; Heathcock, C. H. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5878–5879.
 (17) Paterson, I.; Wren, S. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1790–
- 1792.
- (18) Golec, J. M. C.; Jones, S. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8159-8162. (19) Evans, P. L.; Golec, J. M. C.; Gillespie, R. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8163-8166.
- (20) Golec, J. M. C.; Gillespie, R. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8167-8168

- 6108.
 (21) Yang, G.; Myles, D. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, *35*, 1313–1316.
 (22) Yang, G.; Myles, D. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, *35*, 2503–2504.
 (23) Paterson, I.; Schlapbach, A. *Synlett* 1995, *5*, 498–500.
 (24) Miyazawa, M.; Oonuma, K.; Maruyama, M.; Miyashita, M. *Chem Lett.* 1997. 1191-1192.
- (25) Marshall, J. A.; Zhi-Hui, L.; Johns, B. A. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 817-823.
- (26) Evans, D. A.; Halstead, D. P.; Allison B. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4461-4462.

- (27) Filla, S. A.; Song, J. J.; Chen, L.; Masamune, S. Tetrahedron Lett. **1999**, 40, 5449-5453.
- (28) Misske, A. M.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 4315-4324.
- (29) Smith, A. B., III; Kaufman, M. D.; Beachamp, T. J.; LaMarche, M. J.; Arimoyo, H. J. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1983-1983.
- (30) Paterson, I.; Florence, G. J.; Gerlach, K.; Scott, J. P.; Sereinig, N. J. Am Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9535-9544.
- (31) Gunasekera, S. P.; Longley, R. E.; Isbrucker, R. A. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 171-174.
- (32) Isbrucker, R. I.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Longley, R. E. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 2001, 48, 29-36.
- Smith, A. B., III; LaMarche, M. J.; Falcone-Hindley, M. Org. Lett. (33)2001, 3, 695-698.
- (34) Gunasekera S. P.; Longley, R E.; Isbrucker, R. A.; Paul, G.; Pomponi, S. A.; Wright, A. E. Serial number 09/796,175, U.S. Patent filed on February 28, 2001.

NP020219M